



Presented by: Natalie Villwock-Witte, Western

Transportation Institute (WTI)



Overview

- Why update the Rural ITS Toolkit?
- Live Demonstration
- Questions?



Why Update the Rural ITS Toolkit?

- First created in 1997
- Technology is rapidly advancing
 - Update, expand, relevant, useful
- Updated examples
- Tools that may have been cost-prohibitive 5 to 10 years ago may now be financially feasible



Live Demonstration





Categories

- 1) Crash Countermeasures (CC)
- 2) Traffic Management (TM)
- 3) Operations & Maintenance (OM)
- 4) Emergency Services (ES)
- 5) Surface Transportation & Weather (STW)
- 6) Rural Transit & Mobility (RTM)
- 7) Tourism & Travel Information (TTI)





Each tool has a relevant photo of the tool

Wrong Way Driver Detection & Warning System

Photo: Courtesy of Arizona

Department of Transportation

However, older drivers were also found to be overrepresented in wrong-way driving crashes. Wrong-way driver detection and warning systems alert both the driver and a traffic management center (see #TM9) of a wrong-way driving entry. Wrong-way driver detection and warning systems are composed of three components: 1) the detection element (see #TM5), 2) the notification element, and 3) the warning element. The detection element detects a vehicle that is traveling on a roadway in the wrong direction. The notification element of a system notifies the traffic management center and law enforcement authorities of the infraction. The warning element informs both the wrong-way driver and right-way drivers.

Description: Approximately sixty percent of wrong-way driving is caused by impaired drivers.

The description has links to other relevant tool sheets

Multiple critical needs categories may be identified

Rural Transportation Critical Needs

- ☑ Crash Countermeasures
- ☑ Emergency Services

CC

5

- ☑ Operations & Maintenance
- □ Rural Transit & Mobility
- ☐ Surface Transportation & Weather
- ☐ Tourism & Travel Information
- ☑ Traffic Management

Issues Addressed

- □ Road Geometry Warning

- ☑ Pedestrian Safety
- ☑ Bicycle Warning
- ☐ Animal Warning
- ☑ Collision Avoidance
- Collision Notification

- ☐ Highway-Rail Crossing Warning
- ☑ Intersection Collision Warning

- ☐ Weather Warning

Strategies Achieved

- ☑ Road User
- ☑ Road
- ☐ Vehicle
- ☑ Safety Culture
- ☑ Engineering
- ☑ Emergency Response
- ☑ Enforcement
- ☑ Education



Page | 1

Issues Addressed and Strategies Achieved changed for each category (i.e. CC vs. TM)





When one might want to consider the tool

Short

description

of examples

along with

information

links to

further

Applicability

•If a collision occurs between a wrong-way and right-way driver, the consequences can be fatal. Several states have reported success in reducing wrong-way driving by installing wrong-way driving detection and notification systems. It may be possible to deploy a notification system in rural areas if the detection system can send a notification to law enforcement authorities or traffic management center (if applicable).

Partnerships

- Applications benefit from collaboration among numerous agencies, which may include:
- Departments of transportation (local, state, federal)
- •Law enforcement
- •Emergency response
- Vehicle manufacturers

Key Components

- Detection element
- •Intrusive: loop detectors
- •Non-Intrusive: radar, microwave, video, thermal and magnetic detection
- Notification element
- Visual and audio signal notification for operators at Traffic Management Center
- Closed circuit television
- Warning element
 - •Wrong-way driver: Light Emitting Diode (LED) lighting, in-pavement lights
 - •Right-way drivers: dynamic message signs with automated messages

Components that the tool consists of

Examples of Implementation

Doppler-Radar-Enhanced LED

New York State's Thruway Authority has installed <u>Doppler-radar-enhanced LED</u> signs at the I-190 interchange in Buffalo, NY, at the I-87/I-287 interchange in Nyack, NY, at the I-87 interchange in Catskill, NY, and at the I-90 interchange in Canastota, NY.

Lonestar Software

The Texas Department of Transportation (TXDOT) is experimenting with its Lonestar software to send notifications of wrong-way detections.

SunGuide Software

The Florida Department of Transportation is experimenting with its SunGuide software to send notifications on wrong-way detections.

Arizona, Wrong-Way Detection with Radar and LED Warning Systems

In 2014, the <u>Arizona</u> Department of Transportation (AZDOT) installed two permanent, wrong-way detection stations using radar to detect wrong-way driving. In 2015, the ADOT installed three wrong-way LED warning systems.

San Antonio, Radar & LED Warning Lights

San Antonio, Texas utilized radar detection and LED warning lights to reduce wrong-way driving incidents by up to 30 percent.

• Rhode Island, Wrong-Way Detection & Warning System

The Rhode Island Department of Transportation (RIDOT) indicated that since installing a wrong-way detection and warning system, wrong-way crashes have been reduced to zero. Find out more about this project here and here.



Page | 2













Implementation Considerations (General)

- •Solar panels must be checked/cleaned periodically.
- See the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) for more information on LEDs.
- •LEDs should flash at a rate of more than 50 but less than 60 times per minute.

Implementation Considerations (Pro)

- •Can help to address crashes that are often severe.
- LED signs were found to reduce incidents of wrong-way driving by 38%.

Implementation Considerations (Con)

- Systems, like Texas DOT's Lonestar, Florida DOT's SunGuide, and lowa DOT, still have a lot of false-positives, which is restricting deployment to the user-level.
- •One state reported problems with powering wrong-way detectors by solar panels because they became dirty.
- Studies suggest that enhancing the visibility of wrong-way signs using LED lights will not address intoxicated drivers traveling the wrong-way; these signs were reported to be more effective for older drivers who may not have understood the configuration.

General
considerations
for
implementatio
n as well as
positives and
negatives that
may have
been found
with the tool

Opportunities for Future Expansion

- Wrong-way detection and warning systems that track, in real time, a wrong-way vehicle are under development, but currently have not been
 deployed.
- With connected vehicles, alerts can be provided to a driver traveling the wrong-way on a roadway using internal data. They could also warn right-way drivers of the presence of a wrong-way driver. Such a system has reportedly been piloted in Japan, and Mercedes-Benz has been working to

Additional Resources

- Detection and Warning Systems for Wrong-Way Driving (Arizona), found here: https://apps.azdot.gov/ADOTLibrary/publications/project reports/PDF/az741.pdf
- Guidelines for Reducing Wrong-Way Crashes on Freeways (Illinois), found here: https://apps.ict.illinois.edu/projects/getfile.asp?id=3118
- Wrong-Way Driving Prevention Methods (California), found here:
 http://www.dot.ca.gov/newtech/researchreports/preliminary investigations/docs/wrong-way driving preliminary investigation 10-02-15 with appendices final.pdf
- Highway Special Investigation Report: Wrong-Way Driving (National Transportation Safety Board), found here: http://www.ntsb.gov/safety/safety-studies/Documents/SIR1201.pdf
- Federal Highway Administration, Office of Safety, Wrong-Way Driving, found here: http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/intersection/other-topics/wwd/



Page | 3

How this tool may be combined with other tools or opportunities for the future

If the user finds the overview of the tool to be useful and wants to learn more about that tool





Useful Tip

If dynamic message signs are installed on a corridor where a wrong-way driver is known to have entered, they can be used to suggest to right-way drivers that they should pull off to the side of the roadway until the wrong-way driver has been apprehended.

Ideas on how the tool's usefulness can be maximized

Cost Range

(Cost/financial information, where noted, is based on 2016 dollars (unless otherwise specified). Cost/financial information is estimated, and will vary based on size and scope of project, number of units, etc. In general, capital costs include initial purchase costs of hardware, software, and other required equipment.

Maintenance and operations costs include staff time to operate, monitor and maintain systems; data collection; system upgrades; evaluation; etc.)

Visual aids convey the ranges of capital and operations costs that were found in literature



Capital Costs: The total capital costs for this tool were reported as low (Less than \$50,000). However, the full costs depend upon the number of locations where wrong-way systems are implemented. The TXDOT installed LED wrong-way signs with solar panels on exit ramps to increase conspicuity of the wrong-way signs. The cost was \$2,060 for a sign with a solar panel mounted to an existing signpost. The price increased to \$5,540 when radar was added. The total for an exit ramp is therefore approximately \$14,510¹. RIDOT installed a wrong-way detection and warning system, at a cost of \$25,000 per location. They did appear to make use of some existing infrastructure, like dynamic message signs². TXDOT reported a cost of \$41,455 to install a wrong-way driving notification system on the mainline of a highway (includes two wrong-way LED signs, two blank out signs, one radar detector, one radio link, and additional electrical components)¹.



Operations Costs: The operations and maintenance costs for this tool are estimated as low (Less than \$50,000) to medium (\$50,000 to \$100,000). They are highly dependent upon the complexity of the wrong-way detection system and what are included as costs. Traffic management center personnel and law enforcement officers may be needed to confirm wrong-way drivers and respond and apprehend them. There may be costs for powering the dynamic message signs if they are used to warn right-way drivers. The frequency of responses to wrong-way driving and the level of automation will all influence the costs.

This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Transportation under Cooperative Agreement No. DTFH6114H00021. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the Author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the view of the U.S. Department of Transportation.



Page | 4

https://www.benefitcost.its.dot.gov/its/itsbcllwebpage.nsf/KRHomePage