



### Combining Sensor and Probe-Based Data to Quantify the Impacts of Freeway Lane Closures: A Practical Application in Texas



Joint ITE International and Texas District Annual Meeting

July 21-24, 2019

# **Mobility35 Program**



- A region-wide effort to improve safety and mobility on 79 miles of I-35 which includes **five** of the top 100 most congested roadways in Texas
- 10 to 15 year program
- \$11 Billion total program cost
- Over 30 construction projects proposed as part of the region's on-going transportation system upgrade
  - 6 completed project
  - 7 projects under construction
  - 5 projects to begin construction soon
- Estimating over 12,000 lane closures for the program
  - 1,898 lane closures to date







#### Mobility35 Construction Communications Assistance Team (CCAT)









## LANE CLOSURE GOALS

- Provide contractor with the best times for lane closures to minimize impacts to the traveling public
- Limit delays during construction to 20 minutes or less
- Provide up-to-date closure information utilizing our dynamic message signs and social media
- Coordinate with partner agencies to enhance operations through signal timing adjustments
- No major incidents in the construction work zone and approaches

Ultimately we wanted this TSMO tool to help us quickly plan, evaluate, and execute our lane closures in the safest manner possible and with the least impact to the traveling public and contractor







#### **Features and Benefits**

- Data-driven decision support tool
- Flexibility to incorporate a variety of data sources
- Evaluate best times for lane closures using data analytics and volumes which we refer to as "windows of opportunity"
- Determine delay and travel time impacts
- Predict length of queueing
- Calculate volume of vehicles impacted by lane closure
- Estimate travel time impacts
- Develop an after-action lane closure scorecard
- Calculate societal cost of the lane closures to the region
- Justify and refine begin and end times for lane closures
- User friendly, time efficient, automated and accurate









User Interface: Traffic impact 2/18/19

# Measuring lane closure impacts: User Delay Cost

#### **Conceptual approach**

- Measure travel time increase
- Measure number of impacted vehicles

#### Data requirements

- Speed and/or travel time
- Traffic volumes

Limitation: not accounting for system-level impacts

- Congestion on detour routes
- Delays of users that divert away

#### Smart Work Zone Trailers



#### ++ Precision

#### Probe Based Speeds



#### ++ Coverage







# **Data workflows for Smart Work Zone Trailers**

- Data from multiple providers streamed in real time through C2C feed.
- Data archival workflows are necessary to analyze historical data.
- Typical use of trailers:

- Real-time monitoring
- Manual processing of archived data for evaluation of specific events.









### **Sensor Location and Travel Time Estimation**







$$TTime(t)_{S1}^{NB} = \frac{Length_{S1}}{\frac{Speed(t)_{WZT1}^{NB} + Speed(t)_{WZT1}^{NB}}{2}}$$

$$TotalTT(t)^{NB} = TTime(t)_{S1}^{NB} + TTime(t)_{S2}^{NB}$$

$$Delay(t)^{NB} = TotalTT(t)^{NB} - TypicalTT(t)^{NB}$$







### Case Study: Unplanned vs. Planned Closure

**Unplanned Closure: Camera Looking South on I-35** 



2/18/19

2/19/19

Southbound closure at 11 PM, traffic moved to one lane. No public information disseminated prior to the closure.

Southbound closure at 11 PM, traffic moved to one lane. **Public information provided.** 

Analysis by Alberto Munguia, P.E.







# **Speeds & queues**

#### Unplanned











# **Delays & Travel Times**









Closure Date

Historical Date

#### Impacted vehicles & user cost









# **Changes in Travel Demand**









# Web Application: Closure Time Planning

#### Interactive inputs:

- Analysis start & end time
- Time window size
- Sensor(s)
- Time range for "Typical" conditions (5+ months available)

#### Metrics by direction & day of week

- Average traffic volumes in time window by time window start time.
- Corresponding standard deviation within the selected time range









# Successful Applications of the Lane User Cost Tool

- Example use of Tool: A 4-evening closure to reconstruct overpass
  - CCAT's actions:
    - Reduced the number of vehicles through the closure by 23% (a total reduction of 5,465 vehicles)
    - Saved a total savings of 4,351 vehicle-hours of traffic delay
    - Resulted in a societal savings of \$136,506 in delay cost
- Used to evaluate more than 50 closure events
- Several major unplanned closures (incidents) captured by data analytics; queue length, travel delay, and societal cost calculated
- Have been able to identify optimal days, times, and scenarios for closures
- Increased efficiencies in closure planning, analysis, and reporting







## **Our Team - Thank you!**

#### **TxDOT**

- John Nevares
- Adam Kaliszewski

#### AECOM

- Alberto Munguia
- Paul Avery
- Shawn Flanders

#### CTR

- Amber Chen
- Natalia Ruiz Juri
- Heidi Ross





